

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Abbas, Nawal	ESL	222	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Abela, John	ARC	5824	6	66.7%	4.25	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.50	4.75	4.33	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.54
Abela, John	ARC	6833	8	62.5%	5.00	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.57	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.77
Abro, Sabah	TIE	5013	11	54.5%	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.69	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.81
Abro, Sabah	TME	3333	25	92.0%	4.30	4.17	4.26	4.22	4.17	4.17	4.22	4.43	4.04	4.26	4.26	4.35	4.26	4.27
Abro, Sabah	TME	4343	17	70.6%	4.83	4.75	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
AbuSeir, Zain	ARC	2813	10	60.0%	4.00	3.67	3.83	3.67	4.17	3.67	3.83	3.83	3.17	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.17	3.36
Adhya, Anirban	ARC	3126	10	60.0%	3.50	4.17	3.83	3.50	3.50	3.33	3.64	4.33	4.17	3.67	3.67	4.17	3.83	3.97
Adhya, Anirban	ARC	5782	6	66.7%	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	4.00	3.71	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.50	4.00	3.83
Ahmadi, Parviz	PHY	2413	17	52.9%	4.11	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.00	3.67	3.89	4.44	4.22	4.13
Al Hamando, Mazin	MCS	3543	12	50.0%	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.31	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.58
Al Hamando, Mazin	MCS	3603	14	64.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.72	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.76
Al Hamando, Mazin	MCS	5013	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
AlGhamdi, Ali	EEE	2111	5	80.0%	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.63
AlGhamdi, Ali	EEE	2123	32	62.5%	3.60	3.60	3.50	3.55	3.40	3.25	3.48	3.45	3.15	3.20	3.45	3.47	3.00	3.27
Ali, Mahdi	EEE	5444	15	66.7%	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.57	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.65
Ali, Sk	EIE	5983	52	57.7%	3.63	4.03	4.07	3.83	3.87	3.93	3.89	4.10	3.87	4.17	4.03	4.03	4.07	4.04
Allegretto, Gianluca	EME	5983	14	50.0%	4.14	4.29	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.29	4.17	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.38
Allen, Victor	MBA	7063	23	95.7%	4.64	4.27	4.36	4.32	4.18	4.09	4.31	4.59	4.41	4.55	4.23	4.45	4.32	4.42
Allen, Victor	MBA	7173	22	95.5%	4.62	4.57	4.67	4.62	4.57	4.57	4.60	4.62	4.57	4.52	4.48	4.43	4.52	4.52
Almstead, Blake	ART	4513	8	62.5%	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.77	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.13
Altschul, Dustin	ARC	4813	5	40.0%	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.08	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.50	3.58
Altschul, Dustin	ARC	4843	3	100.0%	3.33	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
Alwerfalli, Daw	EIE	3023	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Alwerfalli, Daw	EME	3023	27	85.2%	3.91	4.17	3.87	3.91	3.87	3.91	3.94	4.74	4.22	4.39	4.22	4.57	4.26	4.40
Alwerfalli, Daw	EME	4313	39	89.7%	4.34	4.37	4.26	4.40	4.17	4.31	4.31	4.66	4.43	4.49	4.40	4.63	4.54	4.52
Alwerfalli, Daw	EMS	6703	32	81.3%	4.65	4.85	4.72	4.77	4.69	4.85	4.75	4.88	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.81	4.78
Alyass, Kussiy	MCS	1224	9	44.4%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	3.75	4.00	4.38	4.75	4.75	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.42
Alyass, Kussiy	MCS	3403	17	70.6%	3.18	3.50	3.91	3.92	3.00	3.08	3.41	3.83	2.92	3.82	3.83	3.25	3.08	3.44
Anderanin, Paula	MCO	3623	16	68.8%	4.45	4.80	4.82	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.82	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.55	4.70
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	3221	26	42.3%	4.36	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.45	4.55	4.42
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	3223	23	39.1%	4.33	4.00	4.22	4.00	4.22	4.22	4.17	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.41
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	5204	9	44.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Anneberg, Lisa	EEE	4273	24	41.7%	3.60	3.70	4.00	3.70	3.60	3.70	3.72	4.50	4.00	4.70	4.20	4.80	4.10	4.38
Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	ARC	3423	15	40.0%	4.33	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.19	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	ARC	6884	10	80.0%	3.17	3.33	3.67	3.00	3.20	3.40	3.29	3.25	3.00	3.75	3.25	3.75	3.25	3.38
Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	ARC	6883	21	76.2%	2.44	2.88	2.63	2.13	2.25	2.19	2.42	2.56	2.44	2.63	2.44	2.81	2.31	2.53
Appleby, Lavetta	CHM	1213	69	63.8%	4.14	4.16	4.20	4.20	3.98	3.93	4.10	4.39	4.14	4.14	4.36	4.23	4.11	4.23
Arlinghaus, Frank	MCS	1424	24	50.0%	3.83	3.75	3.58	3.67	3.58	3.82	3.69	3.92	2.75	2.92	3.17	3.18	2.83	3.12
Arlinghaus, Frank	MCS	2423	32	43.8%	3.93	4.00	4.36	3.93	3.93	4.14	4.05	4.50	3.93	3.86	4.00	3.79	3.93	4.00
Arslan, Selin	EME	4013	37	59.5%	4.68	4.64	4.68	4.59	4.59	4.68	4.64	4.82	4.64	4.82	4.71	4.77	4.77	4.75
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	3013	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	3993	6	83.3%	1.80	2.33	3.20	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.99	3.60	2.00	3.00	1.80	2.60	2.20	2.53
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	3313	12	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.96	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.67

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	4524	5	80.0%	3.75	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.50	4.25	4.17	4.25	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.00	3.96
Ashton, Marshall	GAM	2133	13	53.8%	4.00	4.43	4.43	3.00	4.14	4.43	4.07	4.71	3.86	4.29	3.57	4.29	4.14	4.14
Avdoulos, John	ARC	4126	16	62.5%	3.70	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.40	3.60	3.65	3.70	3.10	3.60	3.30	3.20	3.20	3.35
Aviles, Gladys	CHM	2323	11	90.9%	4.40	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.10	4.10	4.32	4.70	4.40	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.65
Azar, Ghassan	MCS	1514	31	67.7%	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.81	3.67	3.57	3.79	4.24	3.90	4.00	3.76	4.10	3.95	3.99
Azar, Ghassan	MCS	2514	6	66.7%	4.50	4.25	3.75	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.21
Baker, Brian	IDD	3723	19	36.8%	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.43	4.64	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.71	4.88
Bandara, Nishantha	ECE	5813	26	96.2%	4.64	4.46	4.56	4.52	4.32	4.48	4.50	4.64	4.48	4.44	4.48	4.60	4.56	4.53
Bandara, Nishantha	ECE	1413	17	88.2%	3.93	4.20	3.87	3.67	4.00	4.07	3.96	3.53	3.60	3.87	3.67	3.87	3.53	3.68
Bandara, Nishantha	ECE	4012	3	33.3%	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	3.83							
Bauer, Glen	MCS	2423	25	76.0%	4.79	4.68	4.74	4.74	4.58	4.68	4.70	4.95	4.89	4.95	4.89	4.84	4.89	4.90
Bebawy, Mena	ARC	6884	10	80.0%	3.83	3.83	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.83	3.72	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.63
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	1102	24	79.2%	4.05	3.89	4.05	3.58	4.05	3.89	3.92	4.37	3.84	4.11	3.84	3.58	3.79	3.92
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	3013	15	93.3%	4.36	4.43	4.57	4.21	4.50	4.43	4.42	4.79	4.36	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.64	4.57
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	4761	14	92.9%	3.92	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.92	3.85	3.92	4.46	4.31	4.38	4.00	4.00	4.15	4.22
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	5703	13	92.3%	4.42	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.38	4.75	4.25	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.53
Bebawy, Mena	ECE	5763	26	88.5%	4.57	4.57	4.52	4.39	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.61	4.48	4.52	4.43	4.52	4.48	4.51
Bejo, Irsida	ARC	3126	10	50.0%	3.40	3.20	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.20	3.33	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.40	3.43
Bejo, Irsida	ARC	5013	11	54.5%	3.80	3.20	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.67	4.60	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.33
Bell-Huff, Cristi	EGE	2123	15	60.0%	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.11	3.56	4.11	3.96	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.54
Beyer, Cameron	MCS	1142	5	60.0%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.00	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.50
Beyer, Cameron	MCS	1514	12	66.7%	3.63	3.63	3.38	3.38	3.88	3.63	3.58	4.25	3.50	3.88	3.63	3.75	3.25	3.71
Blau, Ben	TAS	3043	12	50.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.89
Blau, Ben	TAS	3053	8	62.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	3.80	4.60	4.60	4.47	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.57
Blendowski, Aaron	ARC	2126	13	30.8%	4.25	4.00	4.50	3.75	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.50	4.17
Blendowski, Aaron	ARC	5824	13	38.5%	4.00	4.20	3.80	3.60	4.20	4.00	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.60	4.00	4.00	3.93
Bodurow, Constance	ARC	4116	6	33.3%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.58	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.83
Bodurow, Constance	ARC	5423	11	36.4%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.54
Bohde, Matthew	ARC	5913	9	33.3%	3.00	2.00	3.33	4.00	3.33	2.33	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.33	2.33	2.00	2.00	2.22
Booker, Zsa-Zsa	LDR	2001	16	43.8%	3.86	3.57	3.86	3.71	3.57	3.86	3.74	3.71	3.86	4.00	3.71	4.00	3.71	3.83
Bozin, Keith	PHY	1154	38	71.1%	2.74	2.52	3.00	2.93	2.78	2.48	2.74	3.63	2.41	2.74	2.89	3.33	2.37	2.90
Breest, Christopher	TAS	4103	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
Breest, Christopher	TAS	4142	3	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00
Brinker, Ludger	LLT	1223	20	95.0%	4.58	4.68	4.68	4.42	4.47	4.47	4.55	4.84	4.68	4.58	4.58	4.79	4.68	4.69
Brinker, Ludger	LLT	3453	19	73.7%	4.29	4.43	4.43	3.71	4.00	3.93	4.13	4.50	3.93	4.50	3.71	4.43	4.29	4.23
Brodermann, Caroline	COM	0075	6	100.0%	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.47	4.33	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.33	4.39
Brown, David	MCS	3683	5	40.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Brown, David	MCS	4613	8	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.46
Brown, Tiffany	ARC	4116	14	35.7%	4.00	4.20	4.40	3.60	3.60	3.80	3.93	4.20	3.60	4.00	3.25	4.00	3.60	3.77
Browne, Warren	ECN	5011T	25	52.0%	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.23	4.31	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.31	4.15	4.15	4.23	4.46	4.29
Browne, Warren	ECN	5021T	26	46.2%	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.47	4.83	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.58
Bukaita, Wisam	ARC	2513	16	93.8%	4.27	4.13	4.33	4.07	3.73	3.80	4.06	4.73	4.07	4.93	4.47	4.73	4.47	4.57
Bukaita, Wisam	MCS	3324	5	100.0%	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.50	4.20	4.41	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.75	4.80	4.40	4.58
Bush, Mary	ART	2413	13	76.9%	4.50	4.30	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.47	4.80	4.40	4.70	4.30	4.70	4.60	4.58

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Coy, Steven	ARC	6003	4	50.0%	2.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	3.17	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.00	4.50	3.50	3.50
Coy, Steven	ART	2993	15	53.3%	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.63	4.38	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.67
Coy, Steven	ART	3043	14	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.31	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
Craig, Jack	ARI	3113	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.70	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.80
Craig, Jack	ART	1133	15	53.3%	3.88	4.25	4.50	3.50	4.38	4.38	4.15	4.88	3.75	4.50	3.50	4.63	4.75	4.33
Craig, Brian	LDR	2001	20	45.0%	4.22	4.22	3.78	4.11	4.00	3.89	4.04	4.67	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.39
Craig, Brian	LDR	3000	198	53.0%	3.94	3.76	3.79	3.92	3.64	3.50	3.76	4.00	4.00	3.96	3.98	4.00	3.96	3.98
Crandell, Breck	ARC	1213	13	69.2%	3.67	3.89	4.33	3.89	4.00	4.11	3.98	4.33	3.56	4.44	4.00	4.56	4.11	4.17
Crandell, Breck	ARC	5824	11	72.7%	4.25	3.88	4.00	3.25	4.38	4.50	4.04	4.13	3.88	4.13	3.63	4.25	4.13	4.02
Crosbie, Gary	EGE	1023	24	91.7%	4.32	4.41	4.55	4.55	4.09	4.05	4.33	4.82	4.32	4.14	4.32	4.45	4.18	4.37
Crosbie, Gary	EME	2011	15	46.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.07	4.86	3.71	3.57	4.29	4.29	3.57	4.05
Crowley, Joseph	ATD	2826	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Crum, Lilian	ART	2523	14	64.3%	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.83	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	5.00	4.89	4.83
Crum, Lilian	ART	3993	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.67
Crum, Lilian	ART	4524	8	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
Crum, Lilian	ART	4622	15	60.0%	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.28	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.54
Crum, Lilian	ART	4624	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Crutcher, Kenneth	ARC	1223	16	50.0%	2.71	2.86	2.71	3.00	2.29	2.43	2.67	3.14	2.71	2.17	2.71	2.86	2.29	2.68
Cuper, Jerry	TIE	2093	25	88.0%	4.86	4.86	4.73	4.82	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.77	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.77	4.73	4.81
Cuper, Jerry	TIE	3063	16	81.3%	4.85	4.92	5.00	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.91	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92
Cuper, Jerry	TME	1023	19	84.2%	4.56	4.44	4.69	4.63	4.44	4.38	4.52	4.63	4.69	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.69	4.65
Cuper, Jerry	TME	4413	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.88	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.92
Dabkowski, Michael	MCS	2414	28	53.6%	4.27	4.07	3.93	4.27	4.07	3.87	4.08	4.80	4.53	4.40	4.53	4.87	4.20	4.56
Dabkowski, Michael	MCS	3111	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Dabkowski, Michael	MCS	4111	5	100.0%	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.77	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Danielson-Francois, Serge	COM	2103	18	55.6%	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.00	4.10	4.22	4.05	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.70	4.40	4.57
Daubmann, Karl	ARC	5824	6	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.83	3.83	4.67	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.00	4.83	4.83	4.69
Dawson, Andrew	ATD	2826	6	66.7%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
Dawson, Andrew	ATD	1924	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.92	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.33	3.75	4.25	4.21
Dawson, Andrew	IDD	1124	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50
Dawson, Andrew	IDD	2226	7	14.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Dean, Walter	CHM	1221	17	70.6%	4.17	3.67	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.58	4.00	4.42	4.25	4.50	4.17	4.32
Dechard, Elise	ARC	2126	13	15.4%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42
Delogu, Franco	PSY	1213	19	52.6%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.70	4.40	4.67	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.83
Delogu, Franco	PSY	3223	10	50.0%	4.00	4.20	4.40	3.80	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	3.80	3.80	3.60	4.20	4.00	3.93
Delogu, Franco	PSY	3713	20	50.0%	4.00	4.10	4.30	4.30	4.00	4.00	4.12	4.10	4.00	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.00	4.13
Deo, Purushottam	ECE	5353	8	50.0%	4.25	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.42
DeRose, Giuseppe	EME	5433	27	55.6%	4.53	4.60	4.53	4.53	4.60	4.53	4.56	4.60	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.67	4.63
Deska, Thomas	MGT	3033	9	33.3%	4.67	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
DiLaura, Lenard	ARI	3123	10	90.0%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.74	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.83
DiLaura, Lenard	ARI	4922	6	100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
Dilaura, Vicki	ART	1133	20	70.0%	4.50	4.29	4.36	4.64	4.57	4.50	4.43	4.64	4.29	4.64	4.21	4.64	4.71	4.52
Dillard, Terrance	INT	4013	7	71.4%	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Dillon, Colleen	COM	2103	20	85.0%	4.59	4.59	4.29	4.47	4.59	4.47	4.50	4.65	4.71	4.59	4.53	4.71	4.53	4.62
Driedger, Mark	EAE	4024	6	100.0%	3.20	3.80	3.60	3.20	3.60	3.40	3.47	3.20	2.80	3.80	2.80	4.00	3.40	3.33
Duranceau, Claudia	LDR	2001	18	66.7%	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.42	4.39	4.50	4.75	4.67	4.33	4.58	4.58	4.57
Duranceau, Claudia	LDR	4000	81	64.2%	3.69	3.63	3.56	3.71	3.48	3.04	3.52	4.06	3.98	4.16	4.04	4.00	4.14	4.04
Eash, Matthew	ATD	3726	10	40.0%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.88	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.92
El-Bathy, Mohammed	MCS	4663	16	75.0%	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.25	4.17	4.26	4.36	3.75	4.18	4.75	4.00	4.17	4.17
El-Bathy, Mohammed	MCS	5703	3	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
El-Essawi, Mohamed	ECE	3523	8	87.5%	4.00	3.71	4.29	4.43	3.86	4.00	4.05	4.71	3.71	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.00	4.24
English, Derek	ATD	2826	6	66.7%	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
English, Derek	ATD	1924	10	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83
English, Derek	IDD	1124	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	3.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50
English, Derek	IDD	2226	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Eren, Serhat	EEM	6763	20	80.0%	4.63	4.69	4.63	4.69	4.56	4.56	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.67	4.38	4.50	4.52
Eren, Serhat	EIE	5983	19	94.7%	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.39	4.44	4.33	4.45	4.72	4.61	4.67	4.50	4.72	4.67	4.65
Evans Mach, Patrick	INT	7213	19	94.7%	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.35	4.06	4.44	4.35	4.44	4.28	4.76	4.39	4.71	4.50	4.48
Evans, Bryce	GAM	2313	12	33.3%	3.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	3.75	4.25	3.50	4.75	4.25	4.21
Evans, Bryce	GAM	3143	6	83.3%	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97
Evans, Bryce	GAM	3413	4	75.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Evans, Karen	COM	2443	13	69.2%	4.75	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.47	4.44	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.41
Evans, Karen	MBA	5061T	19	36.8%	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.44	4.71	4.71	4.74	4.86	4.86	4.67	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.83
Fadel-Bazzi, Nadia	MGT	2113	21	47.6%	4.50	4.20	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.40	4.38	4.60	4.10	4.40	4.50	4.20	4.40	4.37
Faoro, Daniel	ARC	2513	14	57.1%	4.13	3.88	4.13	3.63	3.75	4.14	3.94	4.75	3.63	4.38	3.75	3.50	4.25	4.04
Faoro, Daniel	ARC	4126	16	62.5%	4.10	4.20	4.30	3.40	4.30	4.20	4.08	4.70	4.10	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.33
Faoro, Daniel	ARC	4543	11	72.7%	3.63	3.63	3.63	3.50	3.38	3.38	3.52	4.38	3.63	4.00	4.13	3.88	3.88	3.98
Farlow, Mark	ARC	5824	7	100.0%	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Farrehi, Khashayar	MCS	3663	7	42.9%	3.67	4.00	5.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.17
Farrehi, Khashayar	MCS	4653	9	55.6%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.80	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.40
Faulkner, Ty	COM	1001	17	29.4%	3.80	4.20	4.80	4.20	4.50	3.80	4.17	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.81
Faulkner, Ty	LDR	2001	33	51.5%	4.47	4.47	4.35	4.47	4.18	4.24	4.36	4.65	4.65	4.76	4.65	4.88	4.65	4.71
Fawaz, Remie	BIO	1153	9	77.8%	3.43	3.43	3.57	3.14	3.43	3.33	3.38	3.86	2.57	3.29	3.29	3.00	3.00	3.17
Fawcett, David	MCS	2534	23	43.5%	4.50	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.33	4.50	4.59	4.90	4.78	4.90	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.77
Fawcett, David	MCS	5993	6	66.7%	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.46	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42
Feehan, Benje	ARC	5824	6	83.3%	3.40	4.25	4.00	2.60	3.20	3.80	3.47	4.40	3.20	3.80	3.00	4.40	3.80	3.77
Fernandez, Vernon	EGE	1102	26	53.8%	4.00	4.29	4.14	3.79	3.93	4.14	4.05	3.79	3.79	3.69	3.86	3.79	3.71	3.75
Fernandez, Vernon	EME	4402	52	53.8%	3.25	3.46	3.82	3.15	3.46	3.36	3.41	3.68	3.00	3.29	3.00	3.54	3.07	3.26
Fernandez, Vernon	EME	6213	37	62.2%	4.35	4.57	4.39	4.39	4.43	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.35	4.39	4.35	4.57	4.39	4.41
Finkelman, Louis	LLT	1213	38	31.6%	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.33	4.53	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.67	4.68
Finlay, Suzanne	ESL	542	4	50.0%	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Finlay, Suzanne	ESL	142	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.67
Fletcher, Robert	EME	1011	66	57.6%	4.00	4.05	4.11	3.89	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.26	3.74	3.87	3.76	4.21	3.92	3.96
Fletcher, Robert	EME	5983	30	50.0%	4.60	4.60	4.67	4.73	4.67	4.67	4.66	4.80	4.80	4.73	4.73	4.93	4.73	4.79
Floyd, Stacha	COM	2103	38	60.5%	4.17	3.83	4.22	3.96	3.61	3.91	3.95	4.30	4.09	4.22	4.04	4.09	4.09	4.14
Frasch, Lewis	EGE	3003	11	54.5%	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.17	4.83	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.50

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average	
Frenkel, Cindy	LLT	1223	17	29.4%	3.80	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.27	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
Fry, Jennifer	COM	2103	19	47.4%	4.44	4.11	4.44	4.00	4.22	3.89	4.19	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.13
Gaber, Jody	LDR	2001	36	66.7%	4.33	4.33	4.21	4.33	3.92	3.83	4.16	4.17	4.46	4.42	4.38	4.54	4.29	4.38	4.38
Gaber, Jody	MCO	1003	12	33.3%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.21	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.54
Gaber, Jody	MCO	3913	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Gaber, Jody	MCO	4933	6	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
Gaffka, Douglas	ATD	4526	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.28	4.33	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00
Gerhart, Andrew	EME	3123	31	64.5%	4.60	4.65	4.60	4.65	4.60	4.60	4.62	4.75	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
Gerhart, Andrew	EME	4412	34	64.7%	4.68	4.73	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.67	4.65	4.86	4.82	4.77	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82
Ghobrial, Rimon	ATD	3726	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.96
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2213	21	47.6%	4.20	4.22	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.24	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2221	11	63.6%	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.14	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.40	4.40
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2231	17	70.6%	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.39	4.75	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.53	4.53
Ghoddoussi, Farhad	PHY	2421	8	50.0%	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.79	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.46	4.46
Gladyshev, Sergey	EEE	4133	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Gladyshev, Sergey	EEE	5134	2	100.0%	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Gordon, Aviva	COM	1103	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.61	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.81	4.81
Gould, Aaron	ATD	3726	10	40.0%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88
Graf, Benjamin	IDD	2223	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Grant, Janice	ARC	2843	12	41.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.97	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97	4.97
Grant, Janice	ARC	5023	9	66.7%	4.67	4.67	4.83	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	4.94
Grant, Lindsey	IDD	2226	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Grembi, Jason	INT	5024	4	75.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.78	4.78
Grevenmeyer, Bryce	TEE	3103	12	33.3%	4.25	4.67	4.25	4.75	4.00	4.25	4.36	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50
Gyure, Dale	ARC	3623	29	69.0%	4.35	4.30	4.30	4.25	4.10	4.15	4.24	4.75	4.55	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.50	4.53	4.53
Gyure, Dale	ARC	4183	26	57.7%	4.13	4.00	3.87	3.73	3.93	3.93	3.93	4.67	4.27	4.07	3.93	4.33	4.27	4.26	4.26
Haam, Songho	ARC	1223	29	51.7%	4.87	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.73	4.80	4.77	4.73	4.73	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.76	4.76
Haam, Songho	ARC	2126	13	53.8%	4.14	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.57	4.39	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.29	4.86	4.43	4.45	4.45
Habba, Faris	ARC	3513	13	100.0%	4.46	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.25	4.46	4.37	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.38	4.69	4.69	4.63	4.63
Hackett, Daniel	MCO	4073	10	40.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	EGE	2013	29	65.5%	3.74	4.00	4.00	3.84	3.68	3.74	3.83	3.74	3.42	3.37	3.58	3.89	3.53	3.59	3.59
Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	EME	3013	18	33.3%	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.47	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50
Hakimzadeh, Roya	EEE	5923	9	55.6%	4.60	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.87	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.77	4.77
Hall Sturgis, Stephanie	COM	1103	12	58.3%	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
Hall, Alan	ARC	2843	11	100.0%	4.18	4.09	4.36	4.09	4.00	4.27	4.17	4.45	4.27	4.20	4.09	4.36	4.36	4.28	4.28
Hambir, Tesfu	TME	3333	12	33.3%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.38
Hammond, Jason	TCE	3013	14	57.1%	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.85	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88
Hammond, Jason	TCE	3123	13	61.5%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
Hanna, Vance	ART	2113	10	80.0%	3.13	3.38	3.25	2.63	3.75	3.38	3.25	4.00	3.13	3.71	3.00	4.13	3.38	3.50	3.50
Hanzel, Andrew	ATD	4526	8	37.5%	1.67	2.00	2.33	1.67	1.67	1.33	1.74	2.33	1.67	2.67	1.67	3.00	1.33	2.11	2.11
Hanzel, Andrew	ATD	3626	6	83.3%	1.40	1.40	1.60	1.40	1.80	1.20	1.47	1.80	1.40	1.80	1.20	1.40	1.40	1.50	1.50
Harmala, John	ARC	5913	22	72.7%	4.25	4.25	4.19	4.06	4.38	4.25	4.23	4.50	4.44	4.06	4.19	4.38	4.13	4.28	4.28
Harmala, John	ARC	5952	10	50.0%	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.40	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.67	3.67
Helterhoff, Holly	COM	2103	60	60.0%	4.39	4.22	4.42	4.25	4.28	4.34	4.31	4.61	4.47	4.58	4.31	4.64	4.42	4.50	4.50

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Hennebury, Deirdre	ARC	3613	24	54.2%	4.46	4.62	4.46	4.54	4.31	4.08	4.41	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.54	4.77	4.54	4.67
Hennebury, Deirdre	ARC	5643	12	66.7%	4.13	3.50	4.13	3.88	4.25	3.88	3.96	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.00
Henson, Susan	ECE	2103	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Henson, Susan	ECE	4032	23	73.9%	3.94	3.80	4.06	3.44	3.88	4.12	3.83	4.00	3.75	4.06	4.06	3.88	3.94	3.95
Henson, Susan	EGE	1001	21	95.2%	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.90	4.02	4.50	4.35	4.60	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.43
Hermez, Munther	TME	3113	23	87.0%	4.05	4.10	4.10	4.00	3.95	3.85	4.01	3.95	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.10	3.70	3.83
Hermez, Munther	TME	3204	17	70.6%	4.00	4.25	4.17	4.17	3.83	4.08	4.08	4.25	4.17	4.08	4.08	4.25	4.17	4.17
Herzog, Ronald	ARC	5942	10	70.0%	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.48	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.74
Heyne, David	IDD	1124	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Hier, Michelle	EGE	1001	22	54.5%	4.42	4.17	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.31	4.33	4.25	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.36
Hoekstra, Ross	ARC	3126	11	81.8%	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.11	4.31	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.57
Hoekstra, Ross	ARC	5013	7	57.1%	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	3.50	4.08	4.50	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.46
Hollancid, Cleran	SSC	2423	19	47.4%	2.33	3.11	2.67	2.44	2.44	2.00	2.50	3.22	2.22	1.67	2.00	3.11	1.44	2.28
Hsiao, Shih-Hui	INT	2103	15	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.22	4.17	4.56	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.67	4.56	4.43
Hsiao, Shih-Hui	INT	4423	10	50.0%	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.10	4.80	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.37
Hsiao, Shih-Hui	INT	6043	10	70.0%	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.62	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.64
Hua, Kun	EEE	4423	25	48.0%	4.00	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.17	4.25	4.22	4.58	4.55	4.58	4.45	4.58	4.42	4.51
Hua, Kun	EEE	6784	27	63.0%	4.35	4.41	4.41	4.35	4.41	4.35	4.38	4.41	4.35	4.47	4.41	4.29	4.47	4.40
Huacuja, Roberto	ATD	3626	7	71.4%	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.73	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.87
Husseini, Ghassan	MCS	2313	13	69.2%	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.81	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.78	4.76
Islam, Muhammad	EIE	3753	8	75.0%	4.67	4.33	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.19
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	3011	7	14.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	4822	16	43.8%	3.71	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.86	3.86	3.79	4.00	3.86	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.29	4.10
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	5924	11	90.9%	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.70	4.70	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.50	4.50	4.63
Jaber, Nabih	EEE	4842	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	5.00	2.00	3.17
Jackson, Alanna	SSC	2423	38	55.3%	3.90	4.10	4.05	3.67	3.60	3.75	3.80	4.43	3.86	4.10	4.10	4.38	4.05	4.15
Jadoun, Naela	MCS	0044	9	55.6%	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.40	3.00	3.20	3.37	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.20	3.20	3.40
Jadoun, Naela	MCS	1224	18	44.4%	3.63	3.38	3.75	3.50	3.25	3.13	3.44	3.50	3.00	3.13	3.63	3.50	3.13	3.31
Jammoussi, Hassene	EME	4603	17	52.9%	3.63	3.25	3.38	3.63	3.50	3.13	3.42	4.00	3.67	3.78	3.89	4.00	4.00	3.89
Jausen, Paul	LLT	1223	29	89.7%	4.58	4.62	4.58	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.77	4.65	4.65	4.65	4.77	4.69	4.70
Jausen, Paul	LLT	4513	20	90.0%	4.44	4.44	4.50	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.41	4.72	4.50	4.72	4.56	4.72	4.67	4.65
Jawad, Badih	EME	6153	13	46.2%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.81	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
Jing, Wuming	EME	1011	13	61.5%	2.75	2.88	3.63	3.13	2.25	2.38	2.83	3.13	2.88	4.00	3.75	3.88	2.75	3.40
Jing, Wuming	EME	3013	14	50.0%	4.14	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.14	3.43	3.43	4.00	3.71	3.29	3.29	3.52
Jing, Wuming	EME	3214	16	68.8%	4.09	3.82	3.82	4.00	4.09	3.91	3.95	4.27	4.18	4.45	4.18	4.45	4.09	4.27
Johnson, Rickey	MBA	7063	10	90.0%	4.56	4.33	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	4.56	4.89	4.78	5.00	4.67	4.81
Jolly, Katherine	LDR	2001	19	84.2%	3.94	3.69	3.94	4.00	3.63	3.56	3.79	3.43	3.63	3.40	3.56	3.69	3.50	3.54
Jones, Aaron	ARC	2126	13	15.4%	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92
Jones, Aaron	ARC	5824	13	38.5%	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.40	4.00	4.00	3.87	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.80
Jones-Williams, Tawanesha	TCE	4133	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.73
Jovanovic, Julia	ARC	4443	40	52.5%	4.40	4.40	4.45	4.45	4.35	4.45	4.42	4.65	4.50	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.55	4.54
Kain, Samuel	ECE	5243	12	91.7%	4.64	4.64	4.91	4.91	4.55	4.73	4.73	4.91	5.00	5.00	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.94
Kana, Ayman	MCS	1074	20	35.0%	3.00	2.86	3.43	3.43	3.50	3.14	3.21	3.71	2.71	2.86	3.57	3.29	2.86	3.17
Kana, Ayman	TCE	3093	11	36.4%	3.75	3.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	3.25	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.75	3.79

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Kangas, Daniel	ATD	1924	10	50.0%	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.83
Kao, Vivian	COM	1103	36	66.7%	4.21	4.04	4.00	4.04	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.33	4.21	4.21	4.13	4.33	4.21	4.24
Karatas, Aslihan	ECE	3213	9	100.0%	3.89	3.78	4.11	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.91	4.22	4.00	4.00	3.89	4.22	4.00	4.06
Karatas, Aslihan	ECE	5113	5	100.0%	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.50	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.67
Karatas, Aslihan	ECE	5223	13	100.0%	4.69	4.31	4.54	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.42	4.54	4.31	4.38	4.23	4.54	4.31	4.38
Karian, Harutun	PHY	2221	9	88.9%	3.25	3.86	4.00	3.75	3.63	3.75	3.72	3.00	2.38	2.38	2.88	3.25	2.25	2.69
Karian, Harutun	PHY	2421	10	80.0%	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.38	3.88	3.88	4.08	3.88	3.88	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.04
Karim, Ahsanul	EIE	3453	11	72.7%	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.46	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.54
Kelly, Erin	ARC	3126	10	60.0%	3.83	4.00	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.67	3.86	4.17	4.00	4.17	3.83	4.17	3.83	4.03
Kfoury, Giscard	EME	5983	16	68.8%	3.36	2.73	3.09	3.45	2.91	2.82	3.06	3.73	3.00	3.18	4.09	4.09	3.09	3.53
Kfoury, Giscard	ERE	2024	8	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
Kfoury, Giscard	ERE	3024	4	100.0%	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.38
Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	EME	4003	34	58.8%	4.05	3.95	4.15	4.00	4.05	4.00	4.03	4.30	3.95	3.85	3.80	4.00	3.90	3.97
Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	EME	6113	8	87.5%	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.31	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.36
Kijanka, Rob	IDD	2226	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Kim, Joongsub	ARC	5724	10	80.0%	3.88	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.25
Kim, Joongsub	ARC	5912	11	72.7%	4.50	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.42	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.46	
Kim, Joongsub	ARI	5083	4	100.0%	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.88
Kim, Joongsub	ARI	4123	12	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Kler, Marlene	SSC	2303	26	69.2%	4.06	4.06	3.94	4.28	4.00	4.11	4.07	4.28	4.33	4.06	4.33	3.72	4.17	4.15
Kler, Marlene	SSC	3583	21	52.4%	4.18	3.91	4.27	4.18	3.82	4.00	4.06	4.45	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.24
Klingensmith, Jason	ECE	5283	6	100.0%	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.31	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.58
Kohnke, Anne	INT	2143	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Kohnke, Anne	INT	6113	21	52.4%	4.27	4.55	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.29	4.18	4.36	4.00	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.23
Kohnke, Anne	INT	6123	24	54.2%	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.23	4.62	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.51
Kojovic, Nikolina	EAE	4623	12	75.0%	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.48	4.78	4.22	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.44	4.52
Kojovic, Nikolina	EME	5153	49	69.4%	4.76	4.76	4.79	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.75	4.88	4.71	4.85	4.82	4.82	4.85	4.82
Kolasa, William	EEE	2114	18	66.7%	3.58	3.67	4.00	3.83	3.67	3.58	3.72	4.33	3.17	3.17	3.75	3.45	3.17	3.50
Kolasa, William	EEE	5114	14	57.1%	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.50	4.13	4.25	4.27
Koutsavdis, Evangelos	EME	6543	20	65.0%	4.62	4.54	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.77	4.46	4.69	4.77	4.62	4.65
Koutsavdis, Evangelos	EME	7543	6	66.7%	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.25	3.50	3.88	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.21
Kowalkowski, Keith	ECE	3723	20	95.0%	4.21	3.89	4.16	3.84	3.79	3.74	3.94	4.63	4.16	4.11	4.16	4.16	3.95	4.19
Kowalkowski, Keith	ECE	4753	13	76.9%	4.20	3.90	4.00	3.80	3.50	3.70	3.85	4.60	4.10	3.80	4.00	4.30	4.10	4.15
Kowalkowski, Keith	ECE	5753	8	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.88	4.67
Ku, Chih	INT	2123	20	55.0%	3.91	4.09	3.91	3.64	3.82	3.73	3.85	4.55	3.55	3.91	3.82	3.91	3.91	3.94
Ku, Chih	INT	4303	4	50.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Ku, Chih	INT	7253	36	83.3%	4.53	4.60	4.57	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.52	4.43	4.50	4.47	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.49
Kustarz, Michelle	LLT	1223	32	68.8%	4.18	4.05	4.05	4.09	3.82	3.91	4.02	4.43	4.41	4.27	4.23	4.18	4.27	4.30
Lamb, Katherine	TCE	3033	10	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.75	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.70	4.80	4.73
Lamers, Sara	CRW	2513	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
Lamers, Sara	LLT	1223	34	61.8%	4.10	4.10	4.05	4.05	3.90	4.00	4.03	4.48	4.24	4.14	4.19	4.10	4.24	4.23
Lamers, Sara	LLT	4523	8	62.5%	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.57	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.80	4.63
Lancaster, Mary	ARC	2116	8	37.5%	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.89	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.39

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Lanyon, Jacob	ATD	1924	10	30.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Lanyon, Jacob	IDD	1124	7	42.9%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.94
Lanyon, Jacob	IDD	2226	7	14.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
LeCarpentier, Gerald	BME	1202	12	50.0%	3.17	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.36	3.00	2.83	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.25
LeCarpentier, Gerald	BME	5093	4	50.0%	2.50	2.50	3.00	1.50	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.50	3.00	3.00	2.75
LeCarpentier, Gerald	EEE	6994	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Levich, Michael	IDD	2226	7	28.6%	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.75
Li, Yawen	BME	3213	17	58.8%	4.70	4.50	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.70	4.52	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.50	4.70	4.80	4.68
Li, Yawen	BME	4203	20	45.0%	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.28	4.44	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.41
Li, Yawen	BME	4201	20	40.0%	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.08	4.38	4.00	4.63	3.88	4.25	4.25	4.23
Linn, Robert	ARC	5752	11	100.0%	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.91	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.98
Liu, Liping	EME	5353	33	72.7%	4.63	4.71	4.63	4.63	4.46	4.50	4.59	4.67	4.71	4.67	4.63	4.54	4.67	4.65
Liu, Liping	EME	6133	31	74.2%	4.70	4.61	4.65	4.65	4.57	4.61	4.63	4.83	4.65	4.74	4.65	4.61	4.70	4.70
Livernois, Michael	ECE	4743	16	81.3%	4.23	4.15	4.23	4.31	4.38	4.23	4.26	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.46	4.31	4.37
Lobbstael, Adam	ECE	3424	16	75.0%	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.46
Lobbstael, Adam	ECE	5473	6	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.83
Lowe, Gary	EEE	4514	16	50.0%	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.38	4.88	4.75	4.73	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.94
Lowry, Pamela	MCS	2124	14	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.00	3.86	4.25	4.00	4.29	4.71	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.50	3.88	3.98
Maier, Ashley	LLT	1213	19	68.4%	4.08	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.18	4.46	4.38	4.62	4.54	4.38	4.46	4.47
Maiseloff, Stephen	COM	1001	17	58.8%	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90
Makkawy, Abdel	ARC	4543	14	50.0%	3.14	3.57	3.71	3.14	3.43	2.83	3.33	3.43	2.43	2.86	2.71	3.86	2.71	3.00
Makke, Alaa	EME	3214	16	93.8%	4.13	4.33	4.40	4.07	3.93	4.07	4.16	4.80	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.73
Makki, Imad	EME	5253	31	90.3%	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.39	4.29	4.32	4.31	4.48	4.41	4.44	4.48	4.44	4.30	4.43
Malysz, Pawel	EME	6473	29	86.2%	3.92	3.84	3.48	4.25	3.80	3.84	3.84	4.00	3.80	3.96	4.12	4.04	3.84	3.96
Marek, Stanley	EGE	3003	30	60.0%	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.39	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.22	4.28
Marek, Stanley	EME	5143	21	61.9%	4.31	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.15	4.15	4.36	4.31	4.46	4.54	4.62	4.38	4.46	4.46
Maricak, Gretchen	ARC	1223	16	43.8%	2.80	3.80	3.20	2.50	2.40	2.60	2.90	3.20	2.60	2.80	3.00	2.80	2.60	2.83
Martz, Patricia	COM	2103	18	44.4%	4.13	3.13	4.13	3.88	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.63	3.50	4.38	3.75	4.13	4.13	4.08
Martz, Patricia	COM	6103	6	66.7%	3.00	3.25	3.25	2.50	3.50	2.75	3.04	3.25	2.50	3.25	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.17
Marx, Thomas	ECN	6023	13	69.2%	4.22	4.00	4.33	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.17	5.00	4.89	4.75	4.63	4.78	4.67	4.77
Marx, Thomas	MBA	6073	14	42.9%	4.33	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.17	4.83	4.56	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.50	5.00	4.83	4.75
Massey, Katherine	BIO	3201	16	62.5%	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.90	4.10	4.03	4.10	3.70	3.70	4.00	3.90	3.80	3.87
McKale, Dennis	EAE	3613	8	87.5%	4.29	4.43	4.43	3.71	4.43	4.29	4.26	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.57
McMillan, Andrew	IDD	4526	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
McMillan, Andrew	IDD	3326	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
Mehdi, Hassan	EEE	4333	4	75.0%	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Meier, Wesley	INT	4023	8	62.5%	4.20	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.57	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.87
Mekjian, Gary	ECE	5923	10	80.0%	3.50	4.00	4.38	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.94	4.50	3.75	3.38	4.38	4.00	4.00	4.00
Meyer, Eric	BME	3002	9	77.8%	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.33	4.43	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.14	4.24
Meyer, Eric	BME	4313	18	50.0%	4.44	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.54	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.85
Meyer, Eric	BME	5093	17	58.8%	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.45	4.70	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.52
Meyer, Eric	BME	1002	21	71.4%	4.47	4.53	4.47	4.33	4.47	4.60	4.48	4.80	4.33	4.53	4.60	4.67	4.73	4.61
Michaels, James	MCS	1074	21	47.6%	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.47

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Mikalauskas, Christopher	IDD	4526	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Mikalauskas, Christopher	IDD	3326	6	50.0%	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.33	3.67	4.33	4.06	4.67	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.67	4.39
Mills, Hans	MCS	1653	23	69.6%	4.63	4.31	4.81	4.44	4.06	4.50	4.46	4.50	4.44	4.44	4.19	4.44	4.38	4.40
Mohammad, Saima	ART	2813	20	75.0%	4.27	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.33	4.26	4.13	4.00	4.40	4.33	4.33	4.47	4.28
Molloy, Sara	PSY	1213	18	44.4%	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.63	4.52
Molloy, Sara	PSY	3313	20	60.0%	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.27	4.36	4.39	4.36	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.18	4.27	4.32
Moore, Dorian	ARC	5762	7	71.4%	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.80	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.27
Morano, Heidi	EGE	2123	26	50.0%	4.38	3.77	3.85	4.15	4.08	3.85	4.01	4.54	4.46	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.55
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	1003	9	44.4%	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	3633	12	83.3%	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.70	4.80	4.85	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.85
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	4113	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	4922	2	100.0%	3.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	3713	20	45.0%	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.70	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.85
Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	PSY	2343	8	75.0%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.42	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.22
Morris, John	MCS	0054	19	63.2%	3.92	4.17	4.00	3.92	3.75	3.92	3.94	4.17	3.83	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.02
Morrisette, Jeffery	BIO	3203	22	59.1%	4.38	4.31	4.54	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.54	4.77	4.69	4.67	4.69	4.54	4.62	4.67
Morrisette, Jeffery	BIO	3201	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Mortada, Jamil	MCS	1414	18	50.0%	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.80
Mortada, Jamil	MCS	1424	33	66.7%	4.32	4.36	4.14	4.23	4.14	4.00	4.20	4.64	4.32	4.41	4.55	4.36	4.32	4.43
Moschelli, George	PHY	2221	2	100.0%	4.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75
Moschelli, George	PHY	2413	36	72.2%	4.46	4.42	4.50	4.54	4.46	4.54	4.49	4.85	4.81	4.46	4.77	4.85	4.73	4.74
Moschelli, George	PHY	2421	17	70.6%	4.40	4.30	4.44	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.34	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.45
Motaghi, Hamed	INT	6043	23	47.8%	4.09	4.45	4.45	4.18	4.36	4.36	4.32	4.50	4.09	4.45	4.36	4.27	4.27	4.28
Moyer, Daniel	COM	0094	24	45.8%	4.27	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.55	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.55	4.36	4.44
Moyer, Daniel	COM	1103	18	66.7%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.55	4.33	4.33	4.41	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.47
Mulder, Daniel	SSC	2303	24	45.8%	4.36	4.18	4.36	4.36	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.55	4.36	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.39
Mulder, Daniel	SSC	2403	16	62.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70
Mullin, Thomas	EGE	3012	73	67.1%	4.18	4.20	4.31	4.29	3.88	3.92	4.13	4.51	4.35	4.47	4.49	4.27	4.31	4.40
Mullin, Thomas	EIE	2012	4	100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.46
Mullin, Thomas	EME	2012	39	53.8%	4.52	4.38	4.57	4.48	4.45	4.43	4.46	4.81	4.67	4.71	4.76	4.71	4.76	4.74
Murray, Neil	EIE	6663	20	85.0%	4.53	4.53	4.71	4.71	4.65	4.59	4.62	4.59	4.65	4.71	4.76	4.71	4.65	4.68
Mynderse, James	EME	5323	11	45.5%	4.40	4.00	4.20	3.75	3.40	4.20	3.99	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.30
Mynderse, James	MSE	6183	6	50.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.44
Nagara, Keith	ATD	4513	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Nagara, Keith	ATD	1924	10	20.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Nagara, Keith	IDD	1223	17	35.3%	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.20	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.60	4.67	4.33	4.46
Nagara, Keith	IDD	1124	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.44
Nashlen, Thomas	ARC	4114	4	50.0%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.17
Nashlen, Thomas	ARC	4126	13	61.5%	3.63	3.75	3.88	3.75	3.50	3.38	3.65	4.13	3.88	3.88	3.50	4.13	3.75	3.88
Nasir, Mansoor	BME	3103	23	39.1%	4.00	3.89	3.78	3.89	3.89	3.78	3.87	4.44	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.33	4.00	4.20
Nasir, Mansoor	BME	4022	18	44.4%	3.88	3.50	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.12	3.71	3.50	4.14	3.57	4.00	3.75	3.79
Nasir, Mansoor	BME	4113	20	40.0%	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.17	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.38
Nasir, Mansoor	BME	3101	23	39.1%	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.56	4.44	4.00	4.22	4.56	4.22	4.33

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Pokharel, Rajendra	PHY	2421	17	47.1%	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.50
Pokharel, Rajendra	PHY	2423	51	84.3%	3.60	3.67	3.63	3.95	3.63	3.35	3.64	4.12	3.02	3.74	3.81	3.95	3.30	3.65
Powell, Jeffrey	LLT	1213	40	67.5%	4.41	4.37	4.33	4.26	4.07	4.15	4.27	4.63	4.59	4.59	4.37	4.48	4.44	4.52
Powell, Jeffrey	SSC	2423	20	50.0%	4.50	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.48	4.80	4.50	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.48
Preuss, Kevin	ATD	3626	7	42.9%	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.72
Raghavan, Srikant	MGT	3113	20	45.0%	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.33	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56
Ragheb, Ashraf	ARC	5592	4	100.0%	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.96	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Ragheb, Ashraf	EAE	4024	6	100.0%	3.50	3.83	3.83	3.33	3.83	3.83	3.69	3.67	3.00	4.00	3.33	4.33	3.67	3.67
Rakouth, Heritianarisoa	TEE	6333	10	50.0%	3.80	3.20	2.60	2.80	3.20	2.80	3.07	2.80	1.80	2.20	2.40	2.20	1.60	2.17
Rands, Marilyn	PHY	2213	22	81.8%	4.17	4.11	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.18	4.39	3.89	3.56	4.00	4.33	4.06	4.04
Rands, Marilyn	PHY	2223	24	91.7%	4.50	4.45	4.50	4.55	4.36	4.45	4.47	4.77	4.68	4.73	4.73	4.86	4.77	4.76
Ratliff, Nathaniel	ATD	2933	8	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
Reeves, Randall	ARC	4126	13	61.5%	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.44	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.52
Reimer, Donald	EGE	2233	43	58.1%	3.76	3.88	3.76	3.40	3.52	3.44	3.63	3.64	3.32	3.55	3.23	3.77	3.50	3.50
Reimer, Donald	EGE	3361	26	46.2%	2.83	2.92	2.92	3.00	3.00	2.75	2.90	3.09	3.00	2.82	2.91	3.09	2.82	2.95
Reimer, Donald	EGE	3341	9	44.4%	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.21
Reimer, Donald	EGE	4311	10	40.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
Renehan, Bridget	EEE	2111	16	50.0%	4.63	4.75	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.71	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.69
Renehan, Bridget	EEE	3121	8	12.5%	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.83
Rescoe, Sarah	COM	3101	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Revels, Teresa	ESL	122	3	100.0%	3.33	3.67	3.33	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.53	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67
Revels, Teresa	ESL	0522	4	100.0%	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Riccobelli, Adam	ATD	1924	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.50	4.33
Rider, Joseph	ATD	2823	6	66.7%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
Riedel, Chris	EME	3043	30	53.3%	4.56	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.31	4.38	4.48	4.94	4.75	4.56	4.75	4.75	4.69	4.74
Riedel, Chris	EME	4003	14	71.4%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.77	4.90	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.90	5.00	4.95
Riedel, Chris	EME	5333	21	66.7%	4.50	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.45	4.64	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.62
Robie, Kyle	ATD	2823	6	66.7%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Rosen, Michael	MCS	2523	26	46.2%	3.50	3.92	4.08	4.08	3.75	3.58	3.82	3.64	3.75	4.50	4.08	4.75	3.58	4.05
Rosen, Michael	MCS	3863	26	76.9%	3.90	3.70	4.00	3.85	3.79	3.65	3.82	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.90	4.07
Rost, Steven	ART	1133	15	73.3%	3.27	3.73	3.55	3.27	4.09	4.00	3.64	4.27	3.36	4.18	3.73	4.36	3.91	3.97
Rudy, Gretchen	ART	1133	16	50.0%	4.50	4.29	4.57	4.38	4.25	3.88	4.28	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.63	4.60
Rudy, Gretchen	ART	4113	10	40.0%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.25	3.50	4.25	3.50	4.25	4.25	4.00
Sacchetti, David	TEE	4214	19	84.2%	4.60	4.67	4.71	4.60	4.47	4.64	4.58	4.67	4.71	4.60	4.67	4.80	4.73	4.69
Schantz, Randy	LLT	1213	38	71.1%	3.78	3.74	3.69	3.00	3.44	3.15	3.47	4.19	3.50	3.77	3.08	3.96	3.41	3.66
Schihl, Peter	EME	6413	30	53.3%	4.69	4.69	4.63	4.69	4.50	4.63	4.64	4.81	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.56	4.69	4.72
Schlick, William	TEE	2053	7	71.4%	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.58
Schlick, William	TEE	2093	2	50.0%														
Schmehl, Shannon	ARC	5423	9	66.7%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.53	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.40	4.83	4.67	4.62
Schneider, Christopher	ART	3033	10	80.0%	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.31	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.48
Schneider, Scott	PHY	2413	54	64.8%	4.54	4.54	4.53	4.69	4.44	4.46	4.53	4.80	4.57	4.63	4.71	4.77	4.60	4.68
Schwartz, Martin	ARC	1012	34	55.9%	4.42	4.53	4.37	4.69	4.00	4.32	4.36	4.58	4.42	4.53	4.37	4.58	4.53	4.50
Schwartz, Matthew	SSC	2413	19	52.6%	3.90	4.20	4.20	3.67	3.60	3.40	3.81	4.80	4.50	4.40	4.10	4.80	4.30	4.48

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Sepulveda, Roland	TME	4113	9	77.8%	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.88	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.93
Serhane, Adam	ART	3323	3	100.0%	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.61
Shaba, Amal	MCS	1003	10	100.0%	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.30
Shaba, Amal	MCS	1514	19	94.7%	4.56	4.39	4.28	4.06	4.39	4.56	4.37	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.06	4.56	4.56	4.44
Shahwan, Khaled	EME	5223	32	84.4%	4.26	4.44	4.26	4.22	4.26	4.26	4.28	4.44	4.33	4.52	4.37	4.52	4.37	4.43
Shahwan, Khaled	EME	6983	16	87.5%	4.64	4.07	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.50	4.54	4.86	4.64	4.79	4.64	4.86	4.64	4.74
Shall, Scott	ARC	5824	11	72.7%	4.38	4.13	3.88	3.38	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.00	4.88	4.75	4.63
Shamir, Lior	MCS	4833	11	45.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
Shamir, Lior	MCS	4843	7	85.7%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.72
Shamir, Lior	MCS	7033	4	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Shamir, Mirit	LDR	2001	20	60.0%	3.92	3.58	3.75	3.92	3.75	3.67	3.76	4.25	4.33	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.17	4.25
Shamir, Mirit	SSC	3363	29	86.2%	4.08	4.20	4.04	4.12	3.68	3.72	3.97	4.12	4.16	4.48	4.32	4.36	4.16	4.27
Sharath, Anusha	CHM	1154	12	50.0%	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.14	4.50	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.14
Shargel, Daniel	SSC	2413	22	63.6%	4.50	4.43	4.29	4.36	4.43	4.36	4.39	4.64	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.86	4.71	4.65
Shargel, Daniel	SSC	3613	39	64.1%	4.12	4.12	4.08	3.96	3.84	3.92	4.01	4.16	4.20	4.16	3.96	4.16	4.08	4.12
Shih, Kelchen	ARC	3513	6	100.0%	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Shih, Shan	EME	6353	36	80.6%	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.45	4.59	4.56	4.62	4.59	4.62	4.52	4.62	4.62	4.60
Shortt, Duane	EIE	3653	7	71.4%	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.83	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.87
Siddiq, Fauzia	BIO	1213	20	75.0%	3.87	3.93	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.90	4.07	3.73	3.93	4.00	3.67	3.80	3.87
Siddiq, Fauzia	BIO	1221	11	72.7%	4.38	4.00	3.88	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.04	4.63	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.13	4.13	4.27
Siddiq, Fauzia	BIO	1223	21	81.0%	4.44	4.41	4.24	4.41	4.35	4.29	4.36	4.41	4.18	4.41	4.35	4.35	4.24	4.32
Siddiq, Fauzia	BIO	1231	20	85.0%	4.24	4.29	4.41	4.35	3.94	4.06	4.22	4.41	4.00	4.41	4.29	4.47	4.18	4.29
Siddiqi, Muzaffer	EEE	2123	20	65.0%	2.54	3.08	3.08	2.38	2.85	2.62	2.76	3.46	2.31	2.62	2.62	2.46	2.38	2.64
Siddiqi, Muzaffer	EEE	2214	10	40.0%	2.00	2.50	1.75	2.00	2.50	2.25	2.17	3.50	1.50	2.00	1.75	2.25	1.50	2.08
Sigler, Kenneth	INT	2114	12	25.0%	2.33	2.33	3.00	3.33	2.33	2.33	2.61	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	2.33	2.33	3.00
Sims, Russell	ATD	3626	6	50.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Sirabonian, Mgrdich	PSY	4213	8	50.0%	4.00	3.25	3.75	3.75	3.25	3.25	3.54	4.75	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.00	4.29
Sirabonian, Mgrdich	PSY	3713	8	37.5%	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.50	3.72	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.78
Sitaram, Patabhi	ARC	4543	2	100.0%	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.50	2.58	3.50	2.50	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.42
Sitaram, Patabhi	ARC	5543	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.67	5.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	2.67
Sitaram, Patabhi	EME	3133	32	71.9%	3.74	3.48	3.65	3.61	3.78	3.65	3.65	3.57	3.22	3.43	3.39	3.35	3.30	3.38
Sitaram, Patabhi	EME	5223	13	61.5%	4.13	3.63	3.75	3.88	3.75	3.88	3.83	4.25	3.75	3.88	3.88	4.00	3.88	3.94
Sky, Anthony	CHM	1154	14	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69
Sky, Anthony	CHM	1221	15	60.0%	3.78	3.78	3.89	3.67	4.11	3.78	3.83	4.11	3.33	3.33	3.67	4.11	3.67	3.70
Sky, Anthony	CHM	4522	2	100.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
Sky, Anthony	CHM	4723	5	100.0%	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.60	4.00	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.60	4.20	4.20	4.40	3.80	4.07
Sledge, James	SSC	2423	40	70.0%	4.61	4.50	4.54	4.64	4.57	4.46	4.55	4.89	4.82	4.82	4.86	4.89	4.86	4.86
Song, Wei	BME	4223	5	60.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.94	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.33	4.00	4.00	3.94
Spivak, Courtney	MCO	1013	15	66.7%	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.93	4.40	4.10	3.90	4.20	4.10	4.00	4.12
Stavish, Corinne	COM	2113	17	47.1%	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.13
Stavish, Corinne	COM	4963	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Stavish, Corinne	COM	6523	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Stavros, Jacqueline	MGT	2203	22	59.1%	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.85	4.77	4.85	4.86	4.92	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.91
Stein, Gordon	MCS	1142	18	44.4%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.60	4.75	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.77

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Stein, Gordon	MCS	4993	7	42.9%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56
Stein, Gordon	MCS	5993	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
Stoolmiller, Karl	EAE	4613	11	81.8%	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.28	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67
Stoolmiller, Karl	EAE	4024	6	83.3%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.47
Stuart, Charles	EME	3033	30	63.3%	4.21	4.32	4.32	4.21	4.11	4.16	4.22	4.53	4.47	4.37	4.26	4.42	4.37	4.40
Stuart, Charles	EME	3133	29	55.2%	4.19	4.25	4.06	4.19	4.44	4.25	4.23	4.25	4.19	4.38	4.19	4.31	4.31	4.27
Studstill, Jason	TIE	4913	8	75.0%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.53	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.75
Styczynski, Michael	ARC	4116	8	50.0%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.75
Styczynski, Michael	ARC	5824	11	72.7%	4.25	4.00	3.88	3.25	4.50	4.38	4.04	4.63	4.38	4.38	3.88	4.75	4.63	4.44
Swanson, Karen	ARI	3124	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.00	3.67	3.00	4.33	4.33	3.94
Swantek, Beth	MCO	3713	16	62.5%	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.50	4.60	4.70	4.67	4.70	4.50	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70
Swantek, Phillip	MCO	3203	11	45.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
Sweet, Benjamin	MCS	4623	18	61.1%	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.00	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.45	4.27	4.50	4.27	4.55	4.45	4.41
Sweet, Benjamin	MCS	5103	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Szewczyk, Waldemar	TIE	4913	6	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56
Thapa, Ranjeeta	PHY	2221	4	25.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Thapa, Ranjeeta	PHY	2421	32	59.4%	3.37	3.58	3.74	3.58	3.32	3.26	3.47	3.26	2.74	3.00	2.95	3.21	2.68	2.97
Thapa, Ranjeeta	PHY	2431	37	70.3%	3.35	3.23	3.27	3.42	3.23	3.24	3.29	3.77	3.35	3.46	3.50	3.50	3.27	3.47
Thomas, David	GLG	1103	31	54.8%	4.18	4.12	4.12	3.94	4.12	3.94	4.07	4.24	4.35	4.24	4.29	4.18	4.24	4.25
Timbre, Keith	MCS	0054	12	50.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.72
Timmons, Shannon	CHM	2332	10	90.0%	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.80	4.89	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.67
Tiseo, Benedetto	ARC	2323	6	66.7%	2.50	3.25	3.00	2.00	2.67	2.67	2.75	3.50	2.50	3.25	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.13
Tobos, Valentina	PHY	2423	42	69.0%	4.48	4.48	4.28	4.48	4.24	4.52	4.41	4.69	4.52	4.55	4.62	4.79	4.48	4.61
Tobos, Valentina	PHY	2431	20	80.0%	4.13	4.00	3.88	4.19	4.31	4.00	4.08	4.56	4.25	4.31	4.31	4.44	4.25	4.35
Tobos, Valentina	PHY	4843	5	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.50
Tocco, John	ECE	4051	13	76.9%	3.80	4.00	4.10	3.60	4.00	3.90	3.90	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.10	4.27
Tocco, John	ECE	4243	17	88.2%	4.53	4.60	4.47	3.73	4.07	4.33	4.29	4.67	4.13	4.27	3.47	4.40	4.27	4.20
Tocco, John	ECE	6213	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
Tocco, John	ECE	5273	25	56.0%	4.86	4.79	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.82	5.00	4.79	4.57	4.64	4.79	4.79	4.76
Tsebro, Pavlo	FIN	3103	25	52.0%	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.38	4.54	4.58	4.77	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.77	4.69
Tsebro, Pavlo	MBA	6003	18	44.4%	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.40	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.27
Tuo, Ling	ACC	3053	9	77.8%	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.14	3.86	4.00
Tuo, Ling	ACC	6003	26	57.7%	4.80	4.73	4.73	4.87	4.47	4.67	4.71	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.80	4.80	4.87	4.84
Tuo, Ling	ACC	2023	22	31.8%	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.57	3.86	3.86	3.83	4.29	3.29	4.29	3.71	3.57	3.29	3.74
Turfe, Atallah	MCS	1414	9	66.7%	3.17	3.83	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.20	3.58	4.50	3.00	4.00	4.25	3.50	2.50	3.47
Turfe, Atallah	MCS	2414	11	63.6%	3.57	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.29	3.71	3.76	4.57	3.57	3.57	3.71	3.57	3.29	3.71
Vandenbrink, Sean	ATD	2933	8	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96
Vaynberg, Yelena	MCS	1214	26	84.6%	4.09	4.18	4.45	4.32	4.18	4.27	4.25	4.36	4.14	4.41	4.23	4.50	4.32	4.33
Vaynberg, Yelena	MCS	1414	25	92.0%	4.48	4.43	4.52	4.57	4.39	4.48	4.48	4.61	4.43	4.61	4.52	4.65	4.52	4.56
Vaynberg, Yelena	MCS	1254	27	81.5%	3.95	4.19	4.27	4.23	3.90	4.00	4.08	4.45	4.32	4.50	4.27	4.55	4.32	4.40
Verma, Swati	MBA	7083	9	88.9%	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.25	3.88	4.25	4.23	4.38	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.17
Verma, Swati	MKT	2013	26	80.8%	4.14	4.24	4.33	4.48	4.14	4.10	4.24	4.38	4.05	4.10	4.19	4.10	4.10	4.15
Verma, Swati	MKT	4013	11	100.0%	4.55	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.53	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.82	4.36	4.73	4.62

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Villeneuve, Nicole	CHM	4541	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Vliet, Kasey	ARC	3823	16	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.31
Vliet, Kasey	ARC	2126	13	53.8%	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.43	3.86	4.71	4.38	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.60
Vogt, Philip	SSC	2413	41	78.0%	3.94	3.94	3.84	3.56	3.84	3.41	3.76	4.50	3.69	3.52	3.50	4.03	3.63	3.81
Vogt, Philip	SSC	3133	10	70.0%	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.29	3.86	3.57	4.07	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.57	3.71	4.29
Wallace, Sharon	LLT	1213	41	65.9%	4.15	4.07	4.22	4.15	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.41	4.37	4.44	4.33	4.37	4.22	4.36
Walters, Filza	ARC	3423	10	90.0%	3.63	3.50	3.50	3.13	3.38	3.25	3.40	4.38	3.63	3.75	3.63	4.50	3.88	3.96
Walters, Filza	EAE	1093	17	76.5%	4.08	4.38	4.31	4.23	3.77	4.38	4.19	4.77	3.92	4.46	4.23	4.77	4.23	4.40
Ward, Eric	ARC	2323	12	66.7%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
Watson, Donna	PSY	1213	11	54.5%	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.63	5.00	4.80	4.00	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.63
Weinstein, Melinda	COM	3223	15	46.7%	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.43	3.57	3.55	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.86	3.95
Weinstein, Melinda	LLT	1213	55	61.8%	4.29	4.18	4.09	3.88	3.79	3.88	4.02	4.62	4.15	4.12	3.91	4.53	4.15	4.25
Weiss, Daniel	LLT	1213	14	64.3%	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.37
Welch, Elizabeth	ART	1113	12	66.7%	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.63	4.75	4.77	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.67
West, Anna	LLT	1223	19	73.7%	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.36	4.14	4.45	4.64	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.71	4.67
Wilhelm, Stephanie	SSC	2413	17	52.9%	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.00	4.22	3.78	4.09	4.44	4.22	4.33	3.88	4.44	4.22	4.26
Wilhelm, Stephanie	SSC	2423	9	77.8%	3.86	3.29	3.43	3.57	2.71	2.71	3.26	4.00	3.43	3.43	3.43	4.17	3.29	3.55
Williams, John	EME	4323	10	60.0%	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69
Williams, Thomas	ARC	1223	16	62.5%	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.45	4.70	4.10	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.43
Wojciechowski, Lea	COM	2103	36	38.9%	4.36	4.08	4.36	4.21	4.29	3.93	4.18	4.43	4.21	4.07	4.00	4.36	3.86	4.15
Wong, Margaret	ARC	3126	10	50.0%	3.60	3.40	3.40	3.00	3.60	3.60	3.43	4.00	3.60	3.80	3.20	3.80	3.60	3.67
Woodworth, James	CHM	1213	25	88.0%	3.50	3.77	3.64	3.82	3.68	3.50	3.65	4.36	3.82	4.23	4.05	3.68	3.86	4.00
Woodworth, James	CHM	1221	19	100.0%	4.11	4.16	4.16	4.26	4.11	4.26	4.18	4.53	4.32	4.16	4.26	4.00	4.42	4.28
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	3311	17	52.9%	3.67	3.22	3.89	3.56	3.78	3.78	3.65	3.44	3.78	3.89	3.56	3.89	3.67	3.70
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	3314	20	50.0%	3.60	3.60	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.50	3.62	3.70	3.00	3.80	3.30	3.80	3.30	3.48
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	4323	15	53.3%	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.56	4.63	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.63	4.38	4.44
Xia, Jinjun	EEE	4713	19	31.6%	4.50	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.25
Xie, Xin	EGE	2013	41	65.9%	4.33	4.26	4.44	4.19	4.22	4.11	4.26	4.37	4.07	4.11	4.26	4.19	4.22	4.20
Xie, Xin	EME	3043	18	50.0%	4.11	4.11	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.24	4.33	3.78	4.22	4.11	4.44	4.00	4.15
Xie, Xin	EME	5983	15	86.7%	4.69	4.54	4.69	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.72	5.00	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.92	4.85	4.79
Yamashiro, Janel	ART	3343	12	58.3%	2.43	2.57	2.43	2.71	2.29	2.43	2.48	3.00	2.86	2.57	3.00	2.86	2.43	2.79
Yassine, Mahmoud	EME	5143	28	82.1%	4.57	4.48	4.52	4.48	4.43	4.39	4.48	4.70	4.43	4.65	4.57	4.35	4.48	4.53
Yee, Kingman	EGE	1023	31	61.3%	3.06	3.22	3.12	3.50	2.94	2.61	3.06	3.63	2.78	3.13	3.37	2.39	2.47	2.97
Yee, Kingman	EME	2011	28	71.4%	4.65	4.65	4.60	4.25	4.45	4.35	4.49	4.74	4.60	4.40	4.00	4.35	4.35	4.41
Yee, Kingman	EME	3011	43	60.5%	3.46	3.92	4.12	3.38	3.65	3.58	3.69	4.09	3.64	4.04	3.91	3.92	3.84	3.83
Yee, Kingman	EME	4253	64	60.9%	4.08	4.06	4.05	4.21	4.21	4.08	4.11	4.38	4.16	4.37	4.29	4.32	4.32	4.31
Yee, Kingman	EME	4222	44	61.4%	4.00	3.92	3.93	3.96	3.96	4.00	3.96	4.19	4.12	4.00	3.96	4.04	4.08	4.06
Yu, Na	MCS	2414	31	58.1%	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.61	4.28	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.72	4.35	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.50
Yu, Na	MCS	3523	6	83.3%	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.53
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	3324	15	100.0%	4.47	4.67	4.67	4.60	4.47	4.60	4.58	4.73	4.53	4.67	4.60	4.40	4.67	4.60
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	4544	17	94.1%	4.44	4.40	4.44	4.31	4.13	4.31	4.34	4.56	4.31	4.31	4.25	4.13	4.31	4.31
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	4563	12	100.0%	4.25	4.42	4.58	4.42	4.17	4.17	4.28	4.58	4.25	4.33	4.17	4.25	4.17	4.29
Zalzali, Michael	MCS	3403	25	72.0%	3.72	3.44	3.72	3.94	3.22	3.50	3.59	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.89	3.89	3.56	3.78

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Instructor Name	Subject Code	Course Number	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Zalzali, Michael	MCS	2124	19	63.2%	4.67	4.58	4.83	4.83	4.58	4.58	4.68	4.92	4.83	4.75	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.83
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	1224	12	66.7%	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.19	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.40
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	1424	28	78.6%	4.18	3.95	4.18	4.09	4.00	3.82	4.04	4.45	4.14	4.14	4.05	4.14	4.09	4.17
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	1074	16	81.3%	3.92	3.67	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.77	3.83	4.08	4.00	4.00	3.92	3.85	4.00	3.97
Zhang, Jing	ATD	3626	6	50.0%	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.78
Zhang, Yu	ACC	5003	12	75.0%	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.30	4.89	4.33	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.57
Zhang, Yu	MBA	6033	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56
Zhang, Yu	MBA	7003	6	100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2221	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2231	7	71.4%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.53	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.87
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2421	16	75.0%	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.08	4.08	3.92	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.27	4.25	4.25	4.29
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2431	19	73.7%	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.00	4.00	3.57	3.91	4.29	3.93	3.92	3.77	3.36	3.64	3.82
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	4724	6	50.0%	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.39	5.00	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.72
Zhou, Meng	CHM	1221	30	56.7%	4.47	4.47	4.35	4.06	4.29	4.12	4.29	4.65	4.24	4.53	4.35	4.41	4.47	4.44
Zhou, Meng	CHM	1223	21	76.2%	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.19	4.19	4.31	4.63	4.13	4.38	4.56	4.38	4.25	4.39
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	1424	30	60.0%	4.44	4.17	4.28	4.22	4.17	4.28	4.26	4.89	4.50	4.50	4.78	4.89	4.61	4.69
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	2423	16	87.5%	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.43	4.52	5.00	4.57	4.64	4.71	4.86	4.69	4.74
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	3863	17	100.0%	4.65	4.71	4.65	4.65	4.65	4.59	4.65	4.76	4.71	4.76	4.82	4.88	4.76	4.78
Zhu, Jianjun	MBA	6053	31	41.9%	4.31	4.08	4.46	4.00	4.23	4.25	4.22	4.46	4.00	4.38	3.92	4.23	4.23	4.21
Zhu, Jianjun	MGT	4213	12	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.31
Zingalie, Anthony	ATD	3626	7	28.6%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.58
Zurawski, Erik	MCS	1142	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
Zurawski, Erik	MCS	1623	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	4813	5	100.0%	4.00	4.20	4.00	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.07	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.60	4.20	4.20
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	4812	5	100.0%	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.00	3.97	4.40	3.80	4.20	3.80	4.40	4.20	4.13
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BME	5093	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Grand Total/Average			11,139	63.1%	4.24	4.23	4.27	4.20	4.15	4.15	4.20	4.46	4.23	4.31	4.26	4.37	4.27	4.31